IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)
FRIDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 4207 of 2002

Between:

1. S.Parvathi, minor, rep.by her father Banya S/o.Ghanya,
age: 49 years, R/o.Bodubanda Tanda, Charakonda,
Vangoor (M), Mahaboobnagar District.
2. Srilatha, minor, rep.by her father Joguram, S/o.Ramu,
aged 49 years, R/o.Dubba Tanda (V), Madgul Mandal,
Mahaboobnagar District.
3. T.Sabitha, minor rep.by her father Bikshapathaiah,
S/o.Masaiah, age: 51 years, R/o.Khanapoor (V),
Jalakandapally (M), Mahaboobnagar District.
4. V.Jyothi, minor rep.by her father Veeraiah S/o.Mallaiah Goud, aged: 50 years, R/o.Ourpally (V), Madgul Mandal,
Mahaboobnagar District.
5. Rekhya Vapithyavathi, minor rep. by her father Bichya
S/o.Ghanya, aged 48 years, R/o.Pochamma Gadda Tanda,
Chowderpally (V), Veldanda (M) Mahaboobnagar District.

... PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Commissioner and Secretary,
Board of Intermediate Education, Nampally, Hyderabad.
2. The Controller of Examinations,
Board of Intermediate Education, Nampally, Hyderabad.
3. The Principal, Sri K.R.D.R.Co.Operative Junior College,

Adamangal Mandal, Mahaboobnagar District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents 1 to 3 to hold and conduct the theory examinations March, 2002 for Intermediate 1st and 2nd year at Amanagal only by selecting Sri K.R.D.R.Co.operative Junior College as an examination self center.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.NAGIREDDY, REPRESENTING MR.E.AYYAPU REDDY Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1&2 : GP FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: NONE APPEARED

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Sri Nagi Reddy, the learned counsel representing Sri E.Ayyapu Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that the writ petition has become infructuous. Recording the same, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

29th October, 2004.

Pan

To

1. The Commissioner and Secretary,
   Board of Intermediate Education,
   Nampally, Hyderabad.
2. The Controller of Examinations,
   Board of Intermediate Education,
   Nampally, Hyderabad.
3. Two C.Cs to Govt.Pleader for Higher Education,
   High Court buildings, Hyderagad (OUT)

4. Two C.D.copies.